

BEFORE  
THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF  
SOUTH CAROLINA  
DOCKET NO. 2020-15-C - ORDER NO. 2020-47  
FEBRUARY 7, 2020

IN RE:	Application of Communications Venture	)	ORDER APPOINTING
	Corporation d/b/a INdigital for a Certificate	)	HEARING EXAMINER
	of Public Convenience and Necessity to	)	
	Provide Local Exchange and Interexchange	)	
	Telecommunications Services, and for	)	
	Alternative and Flexible Regulation	)	

This matter comes before the Public Service Commission of South Carolina (“Commission”) on the request of the Commission Staff to appoint Jerisha Dukes, Esquire, to serve as a hearing examiner in the present Docket regarding the Application of Communications Venture Corporation d/b/a INdigital for a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity to Provide Local Exchange and Interexchange Telecommunications Services in South Carolina. Ms. Dukes would hear the evidence in the case without the presence of the Commission. We grant the Motion.

S.C. Code Ann. Section 58-9-1020 (1976) allows the Commission to employ a special agent or examiner in a telecommunications hearing. This person may administer oaths, examine witnesses, and receive evidence in any locality which the Commission may designate. The examiner may not be used in a telephone rate proceeding under the statute. We note that the present proceeding is not a telephone rate proceeding.

Further, 10 S.C. Code Ann. Regs. 103-841 (2012) states that when evidence is to be taken in a formal proceeding before the Commission, any Commissioner or any

hearing examiner designated by the Commission may preside at the hearing. The presiding officer has the duty to conduct full, fair, and impartial hearings under Section B of the regulation. Section C of the regulation addresses submittal of a proposed order and the process by which an order shall be issued based upon the record of the formal proceeding. We believe that this regulation describes the appropriate procedure for Ms. Dukes to employ as a hearing examiner in the present case.

Ms. Dukes, a licensed attorney since 2018, is a Staff Attorney to the Commission and has been employed in that position since 2019. We believe that Ms. Dukes has the ability and knowledge to properly carry out the hearing examiner's role in this case, and we therefore grant the Motion appointing him as hearing examiner.

In accordance with the preceding paragraphs, we make the following:

**FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**


1. S.C. Code Ann. Section 58-9-1020 (1976) allows the Commission to employ a special agent or examiner in non-rate telecommunications hearings.
2. The present proceeding is not a telephone rate proceeding.
3. 10 S.C. Code Ann. Regs. 103-841 (2012) allows a hearing examiner designated by the Commission to preside at a hearing. This regulation sets out the duties and procedures to be employed by that examiner. These duties and procedures should be employed in the present case.
4. Ms. Dukes has the ability and knowledge to act as a hearing examiner in the present case.
5. Ms. Dukes should be appointed as the hearing examiner in this case.

**ORDER**


The Commission hereby appoints Jerisha Dukes, Esquire, as the hearing examiner in the present case. Ms. Dukes shall follow all applicable statutes and regulations that may pertain to his appointment.

This Order shall remain in full force and effect until further order of the Commission.

BY ORDER OF THE COMMISSION:

  
Comer H. "Randy" Randall, Chairman

ATTEST:

  
Jocelyn Boyd, Chief Clerk/Executive Director